

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

O R D E R

S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 300/2003

RODU & ORS. Vs. THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS.

DATE: 12.12.2007.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RATHORE

Mr. Vinod Singhal for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Sharma for the respondents.

This writ petition is directed against the impugned notices dated 18.09.2002 [Annexure 2-A to 2-U] issued by the Gram Panchayat Bhamolav, Panchayat Samiti Arai, District Ajmer, whereby the petitioners were called upon to deposit certain amount within a week.

If the petitioners are aggrieved by the impugned notices, they have got alternative efficacious remedy under Section 61 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

Section 61 (**Appeals from orders of Panchayats**) of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Act provides that if any person aggrieved by any order or direction of a Panchayat made or issued under this Act or under any rule or bye-law made thereunder may appeal from such order or direction to the Panchayat Samiti having

[2]

jurisdiction within thirty days from the date of such order or direction.

Thus, the petitioners have got alternative efficacious remedy and without availing the same, they have preferred the instant writ petition and are also not able to show any cogent reason which requires any interference in the impugned notices issued to them by the Gram Panchayat Bhamolav. Therefore, this Court do not find any merit in the writ petition.

Consequently, the writ petition fails and the same stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

The stay application also stands dismissed.

(K.S. RATHORE),J.

/KKC/